



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/645,982

08/22/2003

Dean S. Thompson

3005669-0003

8601

40/947

7590

01/09/2009

rudoler & derosa llc

ATTN: DOCKET CLERK

2 BALA PLAZA,

SUITE 300

BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004

EXAMINER

KENNEDY, ADRIAN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2129

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/645,982

Applicant(s)

THOMPSON, DEAN S.

Examiner

ADRIAN L. KENNEDY

Art Unit

2129

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADRIAN L. KENNEDY.(3) David Vincent.(2) Ken DeRosa.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent Claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During this interview, the examiner and the applicant's representative discussed the disclosed invention of the specification, and the resolution of 101 issues in the claimed invention. No agreement was reached at this time as to specific claim language. However, the examiner and applicant's representative did agree to work together to arrive at allowable claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David R Vincent/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2129